

Joseph JY Sung MD, PhD School of Public Health Chinese University of Hong Kong



Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Major Outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in Hong Kong

Nelson Lee, M.D., David Hui, M.D., Alan Wu, M.D., Paul Chan, M.D., Peter Cameron, M.D., Gavin M. Joynt, M.D., Anil Ahuja, M.D.,
Man Yee Yung, B.Sc., C.B. Leung, M.D., K.F. To, M.D., S.F. Lui, M.D., C.C. Szeto, M.D., Sydney Chung, M.D., and Joseph J.Y. Sung, M.D.



Common Symptoms of SARS



Presenting Symptoms of SARS

Clinical features (%)	Hong Kong Lee et al (n=138)	Toronto Booth et al (n=144)	Hong Kong Peiris et al (n=50)	Guangzhou Wu et al (n=96)	Singapore Hsu et al (n=20)
Fever	100	99.3	100	100	100
Chills/rigor	73.2	27.8	74	55.2	15
Myalgia	60.9	49.3	54	21.9	45
Cough	57.3	69.4	62	85.4	75
Dyspnoea		41.7	20		40
Headache	55.8	35.4	20	39.6	20
Dizziness	42.8	4.2	12		
Sputum	29.0	4.9		66.7	
Diarrhea	19.6	23.6	10		25
Nausea & vomiting	19.6	19.4	20		35
Sore throat	23.2	12.5	20		25
Malaise		31.2	50	35.4	45

Chest Radiographs



Early signs of SARS in HRCT



Enlarged pneumocyte

> Hyaline membrane

Hemophagocytosis

Activated Macrophage

Nicholls et al. Lancet 2003

Clinical Course of SARS



Peiris et al. Lancet 2003

Colon



- A. lipofusin-laden macrphage
- B. Dilated ER & viral particle at microvilli
- C. Vesicles containing viral particles
- D. Viral particles on the luminal surface of villi

Hospital Outbreaks in Hong Kong

Admission of <u>unsuspected</u> <u>cases</u> in general ward caused serious cross-infection

Use of nebulizer and high flowrate oxygen mask resulted in massive health care worker infection

Density of SARS patients (viral load) correlates with the risk of hospital spread

<u>Isolating probable cases</u> and cohorting suspected cases effectively control crossinfection in hospital



Hospital Outbreak at AHNH



Ho, Sung & Chan. Ann Intern Med 2003

Where did HCW contract SARS?



Ho, Sung & Chan. Ann Intern Med 2003



A 29-nt sequence in animals isolates results in fusing of ORFs 10 & 11 into a new ORF



Guan et al. Science 2003

Isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV from animals and animal handlers



Occupation	Sample number	Antibody positive (%)
Wild-animal trader	20	8 (40)
Slaughterer of animals	15	3 (20)
Vegetable trader	20	1 (5)
Control	60	0 (0)













"We refuse eating exotic food" Signed by 1500 students from Shenzhen, Guangdong. Jan.11.2004



Flight CA 112, 15 March 2003

• One index patient, M/72, boarded CA 112

Subsequently died of SARS

Fever since Mar 11

As of June 12, 22 cases associated with this

Transmission of SARS on Aircraft

Table 1. Frequency of Transmission on Three Aircraft Carrying One or More Persons Given a Diagnosis of a Probable Case of SARS.*

Flight No.	Model of Aircraft	Model Date Duration f Aircraft of Flight of Flight		Phase of Illness (no. of patients)	No. Believed to Have Become Infected/Total No. of People on Aircraft (% [95% CI])	o. No. Who Became III/ No. Interviewed (% [95% CI])†		
1	777-300	Feb. 21, 2003	90 min	Incubation (1) \ddagger	0/315 (0[0-1.2])	0/74 (0 [0-4.9])		
2	737-300	Mar. 15, 2003	3 hr	Fever with cough (1)	22/120 (18.3 [11.9-26.4])	18/65 (27.7 [17.3-40.2])		
3	777-300	Mar. 21, 2003	90 min	Fever (2); fever with cough (2)	1/246 (0.4 [0-2.2])	1/166 (0.6 [0−3.3])∬		

- * The 95 percent confidence intervals (CIs) given are the exact binomial 95 percent confidence intervals around point estimates. SARS denotes the severe acute respiratory syndrome.
- † Illness was defined as fever with cough, shortness of breath, or difficulty breathing. The number of patients who became ill excludes the index patient or patients.
- ‡ The incubation phase is defined as the 10 days before the onset of fever.
- Illness in the one passenger who became ill met the WHO criteria for a suspected case of SARS; no chest radiograph was obtained.

CDC (US) and DH (HK), NEJM 2004

Transmission of SARS on Aircraft

Seated within 3 rows in front of the Index patient RR of SARS=3.1



Figure 2. Schematic Diagram of the Boeing 737-300 Aircraft on Flight 2 from Hong Kong to Beijing.

Two flight attendants and two Chinese officials also reportedly had illness that met the WHO criteria for a probable case of SARS. The flight attendants are shown here as members of the crew. The seat locations of the two Chinese officials are unknown, and they are not included in the diagram.

Amoy Garden, Hong Kong



Index patient visited Flats 7, 16th Floor

321 residents got infected, starting from Block E, then to Blocks C, D and B

Courtesy of Li YG

Ν



Epidemic curve of Block E is almost Identical with the curve of all cases

Relative Risk of Unit Infection Middle levels (14-23/F) 5.1 High levels (24-36/F) 3.1 RR of Unit Infection Highest in Ab (14.5) and Ad (3.9)

Yu et al. NEJM 2004



Computational fluid-dynamic modeling of Air Flow



Figure 3. Model of the Movement of the Virus-Laden Plume.

According to our computational fluid-dynamics modeling, the buoyant plume (blue) rose from the air shaft between two housing units in building E (yellow) and was carried by a northeasterly wind toward the middle-level floors in buildings C and D. The L-shape structure (Panels A and B) was a nearby construction site that blocked the wind flowing toward lower-level floors in buildings E, C, and D. The wake flow of the construction site created a region of negative air pressure in the space between buildings E, C, and D (Panel B) that caused the plume to bend downward, toward buildings C and D.

Lessons from influenza and SARS outbreaks in Hong Kong

Environmental reservoir









What else is there threatening our life



Non-Infectious Diseases



ESTABLISHED IN 1812

APRIL 24, 2003

VOL.348 NO.17

Overweight, Obesity, and Mortality from Cancer in a Prospectively Studied Cohort of U.S. Adults

Eugenia E. Calle, Ph.D., Carmen Rodriguez, M.D., M.P.H., Kimberly Walker-Thurmond, B.A., and Michael J. Thun, M.D.

Obesity and Cancer

Type of Cancer	Body-Mass Index†							
	18.5-24.9	25.0-29.9	30.0-34.9	35.0-39.9	≥40.0			
All cancers No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	13,855 578.30 1.00	15,372 546.21 0.97 (0.94–0.99)	2683 636.30 1.09 (1.05–1.14)	350 738.69 1.20 (1.08–1.34)	43 841.62 1.52 (1.13–2.05)	0.001		
All cancers No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	13,855 578.30 1.00	15,372 546.21 0.97 (0.94–0.99)	2683 636.30 1.09 (1.05–1.14)	393¶ 749.86¶ 1.23 (1.11–1.36)¶		0.002		
Esophageal cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	329 13.97 1.00	452 15.74 1.15 (0.99–1.32)	81 18.07 1.28 (1.00–1.63)	14 24.18 1.63 (0.95–2.80)		0.008		
Stomach cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	388 16.24 1.00	455 16.09 1.01 (0.88–1.16)	84 20.34 1.20 (0.94–1.52)	18 33.99 1.94 (1.21–3.13)		0.03		
Colorectal cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	1,292 53.51 1.00	1,811 64.43 1.20 (1.12–1.30)	337 79.50 1.47 (1.30–1.66)	54 101.25 1.84 (1.39–2.41)		<0.001		
Liver cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	222 9.24 1.00	296 10.49 1.13 (0.94–1.34)	78 19.22 1.90 (1.46–2.47)	24 47.80 4.52 (2.94–6.94)		<0.001		
Gallbladder cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	66 2.68 1.00	94 3.37 1.34 (0.97–1.84)	20 5.16 1.76 (1.06–2.94)			0.02		
Pancreatic cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% CI)§	740 31.07 1.00	961 33.98 1.13 (1.03–1.25)	182 42.20 1.41 (1.19–1.66)	25 48.80 1.49 (0.99–2.22)		<0.001		
Lung cancer No. of deaths Death rate‡ RR (95% C1)%	4,885 206.69	4,281 150.11 0.78 (0.75–0.82)	681 156.53 0.79 (0.73–0.86)	78 149.63 0.67 (0.54–0.84)		<0.001		

Calle et al. NEJM 2003

Obesity and Cancer (Men)



Figure 1. Summary of Mortality from Cancer According to Body-Mass Index for U.S. Men in the Cancer Prevention Study II, 1982 through 1998.

For each relative risk, the comparison was between men in the highest body-mass-index (BMI) category (indicated in parentheses) and men in the reference category (body-mass index, 18.5 to 24.9). Asterisks indicate relative risks for men who never smoked. Results of the linear test for trend were significant ($P \le 0.05$) for all cancer sites.

Obesity and Cancer (Women)



Figure 2. Summary of Mortality from Cancer According to Body-Mass Index for U.S. Women in the Cancer Prevention Study II, 1982 through 1998.

For each relative risk, the comparison was between women in the highest body-mass-index (BMI) category (indicated in parentheses) and women in the reference category (body-mass index, 18.5 to 24.9). Asterisks indicate relative risks for women who never smoked. Results of the linear test for trend were significant ($P \le 0.05$) for all cancer sites.

Obesity and Cancer Mortality



Contribution of Overweight and Obesity to Mortality from Cancer in the United States.

So what?

- Understand the mechanism
- Device method to prevent
- Reduce mortality and improve survival

Positive epidemiological association between obesity and GERD





- 90% ↑ risk of having reflux symptoms
- 80% ↑ risk of having esophagitis
- 180% ↑ risk of having GERD related esophageal cancer

Obesity and Cancer Incidence (MEN)

Cancer site and type No	umber of studies		RR (95% CI)	р	ľ				
Oesophageal adenocarcinom	ia 5		1.52 (1.33-1.74)	<0.0001	24%				
Thyroid	4		1.33 (1.04-1.70)	0.02	77%				
Colon	22	+	1.24(1.20-1.28)	<0.0001	21%				
Renal	11	-	1.24 (1.15–1.34)	<0.0001	37%				
Liver	4		1.24 (0.95-1.62)	0.12	83%				
Malignant melanoma	6		1.17 (1.05–1.30)	0.004	44%				
Multiple myeloma	7	•	1-11 (1-05-1-18)	<0.0001	7%				
Rectum	18	•	1.09 (1.06–1.12)	<0.0001	3%				
Gallbladder	4	+	1.09 (0.99-1.21)	0.12	0%				
Leukaemia	7	-	1.08 (1.02-1.14)	0.009	0%				
Pancreas	12		1.07 (0.93-1.23)	0.33	70%				
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	6	•	1.06 (1.03-1.09)	<0.0001	0%				
Prostate	27	+	1.03 (1.00-1.07)	0.11	73%				
Gastric	8	-	0.97 (0.88-1.06)	0.49	35%				
Lung	11 -		0.76 (0.70-0.83)	<0.0001	63%				
Oesophageal squamous	3 —		0.71(0.60-0.85)	<0.0001	49%				
	0.5 0.3	8 1.0 1.5 2.0							
Risk ratio (per 5 kg/m² increase)									

Figure 3: Summary risk estimates by cancer sites in men

Renehen et al. Lancet 2008

Obesity and Cancer Incidence (WOMEN)

Cancer site and type Num	nber of studies	RR (95% CI)	Р	I2
Endometrium	19 🔸	1.59 (1.50-1.68)	<0.0001	77%
Gallbladder	2	- 1·59 (1·02-2·47)	0.04	67%
Oesophageal adenocarcinoma	3 -	1.51 (1.31-1.74)	<0.0001	0%
Renal	12	1-34 (1-25-1-43)	<0.0001	45%
Leukaemia	7 -	1.17 (1.04-1.32)	0.01	80%
Thyroid	3	1-14 (1-06-1-23)	0.001	5%
Postmenopausal breast	31 🔸	1.12(1.08-1.16)	<0.0001	64%
Pancreas	11	1.12 (1.02-1.22)	0.01	43%
Multiple myeloma	6 🔹	1.11 (1.07-1.15)	<0.0001	0%
Colon	19	1.09 (1.05-1.13)	<0.0001	39%
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	7	1.07 (1.00-1.14)	0.05	47%
Liver	1	1.07 (0.55-2.08)		
Gastric	5 -	1.04 (0.90-1.20)	0.56	4%
Ovarian	13 🔸	1.03 (0.99-1.08)	0.30	55%
Rectum	14 🔹	1.02 (1.00-1.05)	0.26	0%
Malignant melanoma	5 🛋	0.96 (0.92-1.01)	0.05	0%
Premenopausal breast	20 🔸	0-92 (0-88-0-97)	0.001	39%
Lung	6 —	0.80 (0.66-0.97)	0.03	84%
Oesophageal squamous	2 —	0.57 (0.47-0.69)	<0.00 01	60%
	0.5 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0			
	Risk ratio (per 5 kg/m² increase)			

Figure 4: Summary risk estimates by cancer sites in women

Renehen et al. Lancet 2008

Colon Screening in USA: rate of FOBt, FS and Colonoscopy



Cancer Deaths Decline For Second Straight Year

Fewer Smokers, More Screening Credited

By <u>Rob Stein</u> Washington Post Staff Writer Thursday, January 18, 2007; Page A01

The number of Americans who died of cancer has dropped for a second straight year, marking a milestone in the war on the disease, officials said yesterday.

More than 3,000 fewer Americans died from cancer in 2004 than in 2003, according to statistics analyzed by the American Cancer Society, indicating that a much smaller decline in cancer deaths a year earlier probably was not a fluke but instead marked the start of a trend.

"It's very exciting," said Ahmedin Jemal, a cancer epidemiologist who prepared the report. "I think it's a turning point in our efforts to reduce the number of people dying from cancer. It's very good news."

The trend was driven by drops in deaths from three of the four major forms of



Marston Linehan, chief of urological oncology at the National Institutes of Health, shows President Bush kidney cancer cells through a microscope. (By Gerald Herbert -- Associated Press) cancer -- breast, prostate and colorectal -- and a decline in deaths among men from the fourth, lung cancer. It was caused by a combination of factors, including a decrease in cigarette smoking among men, wider screening for colon, prostate and breast cancer, and better treatments, Jemal and others said.

"There's a lot of good news in this report," said Linda Pickle of the National Cancer Institute. "We hope that it's the beginning of a long-term downward trend and that we've finally turned the corner."

President Bush lauded the news during a visit to the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda. "This drop was the steepest ever recorded," he said.

"Progress is being made."

GRAPHIC

<u>A Declining Toll</u>

Cancer death rates overall have dropped every year since 1991. Some components of that drop:

U.S. Cancer Deaths Men Women 2002 288,768 268,503 2004* 286,830 267,058 *Most recent numbers available SOURCE: American Cancer Society The Washington Post

WHAT READERS ARE SAYING Your Comments On... Short Mental Workouts May Slow Decline of Aging Minds, Study Finds "It would be interesting to know if

factors such as stress, or sleep disorders etc. were taken into account. They can be quite memory inhibiting and are more likely to be present in the control group, as a lack of mental stimulation can increase self doubt, decrease confidence and cause a form of panic. Could this have put the control group behind, at the same time the motivated groups were leaping ahead and would this not effect the measurement of the outcome? "

The New York Times Health								💿 Heal	th 🔘 All I	NYT S	earch			
WORLD	U.S.	N.Y. / REGION	BUSINESS	TECHNOLOGY	SCIENCE	HEALTH	SPORTS	OPINION	ARTS	STYLE	TRAVEL	JOBS	REAL ESTATE	AUTOS
	FITNESS & NUTRITION HEALTH CARE POLICY MENTAL HEALTH & BEHAVIOR													
The Cisco Live BannerCast Discussion January 25, 12:30 p.m. (ET) / 9:30 a.m. (PT) Topic: Dan Scheinman, SVP and GM of the Cisco Media Solutions Group, discusses technology's impact on the entertainment industry.							0							

Second Drop in Cancer Deaths Could Point to a Trend, Researchers Say

By DENISE GRADY Published: January 18, 2007

The number of <u>cancer</u> deaths in the United States has dropped for the second year in a row, the <u>American</u> <u>Cancer Society</u> reported yesterday. The finding suggests that the small drop reported last year — the first in more than 70 years — was real, possibly the start of a continuing decrease and not merely a statistical fluke, researchers said.





Much of the decrease is due to <u>smoking</u> cessation and improved detection and treatment of colorectal, breast and prostate cancers. But it has taken enormous efforts and ingenuity to produce relatively small gains.

From 2003 to 2004, cancer deaths fell by

More Articles in Health »



MOST POPULAR

E-MAILED BLOGGED SEARCHED

- 1. Strict Vegan Ethics, Frosted With Hedonism
- 2. The Consumer: An Old Cholesterol Remedy Is New Again
- Critic's Notebook : You May Kiss the Chef's Napkin Ring
- Once Around the Block, James, and Pick Me Up After My Nap

Fewer Deaths

Declines in deaths from colon and breast cancers in women and lung and prostate cancers in men are responsible for a recent drop in cancer deaths.



year's decline, 369. (These are the latest years for which figures are available.) Although the drop is notable, it still pales in comparison with the number of cancer deaths, 553,888 in 2004. Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States, after <u>heart</u>

<u>disease</u>.

By far the greatest decrea been in colorectal cancer in men, 1,094 fewer in w

Dr. Elizabeth Ward, a ma epidemiology and surveil society, said the most im decrease was screening for which can detect the disc most treatable, or even p finding precancerous pol





Asia Pacific Consensus Meeting on Colorectal Cancer Screening 亞太地區大腸癌篩查共識會議

Consensus Statements



AP Working Group on Colorectal Cancer. Gut 2008

What is Public Health?

- Observation... Epidemiology
- Analysis... Risk assessment
- Intervention... Prevention



HIV AIDS





Earthquake



Tsunami



Saving Life...

By MILLIONS

JOHNS HOPKINS 1795 1873